



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                                     | FILING DATE   | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/397,188  | 09/16/1999    | GORDON GRIGOR        | 0100.9900670            | 8413             |
| 24228 75  | 90 07/03/2002 |                      |                         |                  |
| MARKISON & RECKAMP, PC<br>PO BOX 06229<br>WACKER DR |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|   |               |                      | CHAUHAN, ULKA J         |                  |
| CHICAGO, IL   | 60606-0229    |                      |                         |                  |
| 011101100,12  | 00000 022)    |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |               |                      | 2671                    | 14               |
|   |               |                      | DATE MAILED: 07/03/2002 | 4                |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M

|  | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |             |  |  |  |
|--|---|---|-------------|--|--|--|
|  | 09/397,188  | GRIGOR ET AL.   | . •         |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary  | Examiner  | Art Unit  |             |  |  |  |
|  | Ulka J. Chauhan   | 2671  |             |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet   | with the correspondence ad  | dress       |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  | 6(a). In no event, however, may within the statutory minimum of till apply and will expire SIX (6) M cause the application to become  | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   | <u> </u>  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi  | s action is non-final.  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the practice of Chairman and Chairm |   |   | e merits is |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  | m nom consideration.  |   |             |  |  |  |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 17-20</u> is/are rejected.  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16</u> is/are objected to.   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  | election requirement.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner   | :   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 September 1999</u> is/a   | re: a)⊠ accepted or b)□   | objected to by the Examine  | er.         |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.   |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa  | aminer.   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |   | 0.440(-) (-1) (0  |             |  |  |  |
| <ul><li>13)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li><li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li></ul>  | priority under 35 U.S.C   | 7. § 119(a)-(a) or (t).   |             |  |  |  |
|  | have been received  |   |             |  |  |  |
|  | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  |   |             |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| application from the International Bur   | <ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul> |   |             |  |  |  |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic   | 4) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).   |   |             |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language profile</li> <li>15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic</li> </ul>  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |   |   |             |  |  |  |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)  | 5) Notice   | w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(<br>of Informal Patent Application (PTo  |             |  |  |  |
|  |   |   |             |  |  |  |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 2671

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 11, 12, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 3. Claim 11 recites the limitation "the second portion" and "the first portion" in line 8. It is not clear whether this is in reference to the first and second portions of the image primitive or the first and second portions of the frame buffer. For examination purposes, it will be assumed that the reference is to first and second portions of the image primitive.
- 4. Claim 17 recites the limitation "the frame engine" in line 8; there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C.

Art 'Unit: 2671

122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 6. Claims 1-9, 11-15, and 17-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,850,232 to Engstrom et al.
- As per claims 1, and 3-5, Engstrom teaches a method and system for flipping images in 7. which an application requests the creation of a flipping structure including a memory region 126 serving as a front buffer and a memory region 132 serving as a back buffer at col. 7 17-22 and lines 52-61. Engstrom discloses that during runtime, the application collects input ("receiving a rendering command"), processes it, and renders its display image to the back buffer of the flipping structure ("stored at a first memory location of a first frame buffer") at col. 15 lines 27-43. Engstrom discloses that to avoid modifying surface memory that the display controller is reading, the display device interface checks the state of the display hardware before attempting operations that could cause a conflict by determining whether it is safe to change the address of the memory region that is currently serving as the front buffer at col. 20 lines 9-17. The scan line register is read to analyze the scan line position ("second memory location representative of a raster location") relative to the position when the last flip occurred and if the scan line is less than the scan line at the time the last flip occurred, then it is safe to assume the previous flip operation has completed and the display address has been changed at col. 21 lines 28-33. Engstrom discloses that when the flip control determines that it is safe to update the display or overlay address, it executes steps 476-480 ("enabling storage... when the second memory location indicates the raster has accessed data") at col. 22 lines 31-33 and Fig. 12B. And Engstrom discloses that if the current position of the scan line is below the previous position,

Art Unit: 2671

then the flip control proceeds by checking whether a refresh period has elapsed since the last flip request; if a refresh time has elapsed, it is safe to update the display address and if not, the flip control returns the "WasStillDrawing" error ("preventing storage... when the second memory location indicates the raster has not accessed data at the first memory location") at col. 22 lines 12-30 and Figs. 12A, B.

- 8. As per claim 2, Engstrom discloses that the computer 22 generally includes a CPU 28 that includes a control unit 36 for controlling the operation of computer system 20 in response to instructions from a computer program such as an application or an operating system at col. 3 lines 38-45.
- 9. As per claims 6 and 7, Engstrom discloses that the display device interface manages the application's access to the back buffer and also synchronizes the display controllers access to the front buffer. While the application renders its image to the back buffer of the flipping structure, the overlay control in the display hardware reads the image in the front buffer at col. 7 lines 17-25.
- 10. As per claim 8, Engstrom discloses that the display hardware 56 includes the hardware devices within and/or coupled to the host computer that are responsible for displaying visual data including 2D and 3D rendered graphics and animation, video, text and still images at col. 4 lines 59-62. Therefore Engstrom implicitly teaches rendering graphics primitives. Claims 8 and 9 are further similar in scope to claims 1-7 and are rejected under the same rationale.
- 11. Claims 11, 12, and 17-20 are rejected per the rationale for claim 1 above, and further as Engstrom discloses that when the current scan line is not less then the last scan line at the last flip request, the flip control checks whether a refresh period has elapsed since the last flip

Art'Unit: 2671

request, if a refresh time has elapsed, it is safe to update the display address, and if not, the flip control returns an error at col. 22 lines 8-36. Therefore, Engstrom discloses that when current scan line is below the line of the last flip and a refresh period has not elapsed, then the display is still being refreshed and a flip operation is prohibited so that a portion adjacent to a portion that is stored in the back buffer is prevented from being stored in the back buffer if a flip occurred in between, and a refresh has not completed.

12. As per claims 13-15, Engstrom discloses that the video card receives image data and display commands from the host computer and controls the transfer of image data to a display monitor and the graphics controller is responsible for acceleration and other graphics operations at col. 5 lines 24-36. Engstrom also discloses that the display device interface acts as an interface to the display hardware such as the video cards and enables applications to access video memory and the special purpose graphics hardware to enhance performance at col. 6 lines 13-24. Engstrom further discloses that to avoid modifying surface memory that the display controller is reading, the display device interface checks the state of the display hardware before attempting operations that could cause a conflict by determining whether it is safe to change the address of the memory region that is currently serving as the front buffer at col. 20 lines 9-17. The scan line register is read to analyze the scan line position relative to the position when the last flip occurred and if the scan line is less than the scan line at the time the last flip occurred, then it is safe to assume the previous flip operation has completed and the display address has been changed at col. 21 lines 28-33. Engstrom discloses that when the flip control determines that it is safe to update the display or overlay address, it executes steps 476-480 at col. 22 lines 31-33 and Fig. 12B. And Engstrom discloses that if the current position of the scan line is below the

Art Unit: 2671

previous position, then the flip control proceeds by checking whether a refresh period has elapsed since the last flip request; if a refresh time has elapsed, it is safe to update the display address and if not, the flip control returns the "WasStillDrawing" error at col. 22 lines 12-30 and Figs. 12A, B.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 13. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 15. Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,850,232 to Engstrom et al.
- 16. As per claim 10, Engstrom does not expressly teach logical address locations. Official Notice is taken that both the concept and advantage of using logical addresses is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided for the use of logical addresses so that an

Page 6.

Art Unit: 2671

application program can access memory locations in a system with virtual memory with intervening hardware and/or software mapping of the logical address to physical memory.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

- 17. Claim 16 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 18. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the cited prior art does not disclose or render obvious the combination of elements recited in the claims. Specifically, the cited prior art fails to disclose or render obvious the particular structure of a write behind raster controller as recited in claim 16 and depicted in Fig. 5, having a multiplexer with two inputs and an output that is coupled to an input of a latch, which in turn outputs to an input of a comparator that compares it with a second input, and an incrementor that has two inputs including the output from the latch and that outputs to the first input of the multiplexer.

#### Conclusion

19. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent No. 6222589 to Faroudja et al. U.S. Patent No. 6054980 to Eglit

U.S. Patent No. 5986676 to Dwin et al. U.S. Patent No. 5790138 to Hsu

U.S. Patent No. 5657478 to Recher et al. U.S. Patent No. 5451981 to Drako et al.

U.S. Patent No. 5446496 to Foster et al.

Art'Unit: 2671

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ulka Chauhan whose telephone number is (703) 305-9651. The

examiner can normally be reached Mon.-Fri. from 9:00 am to 4:00 pm. If attempts to reach the

examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Zimmerman, can be

reached at (703) 305-9798.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive,

Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

21. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone

number is (703) 305-4700.

Úlka J. Chauhan Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2671

ujc

June 27, 2002

Page 8,